

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,449	09/19/2003	Gary Filice	EAST-003 8352		
	7590 04/17/2006 .		EXAMINER		
OLSON & HIERL, LTD.			CHAMBERS, MICHAEL S		
36th Floor 20 North Wacker Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 60606			3711	3711	
			DATE MAILED: 04/17/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	٠.	
L		٠

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/666,449	FILICE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mike Chambers	3711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ma	arch 2006.						
·= · ·	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-31</u> is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		- - - - -					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		, 101.01. 01. 101.11.					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 110(a)	(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 0.5.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (i).					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received						
		on No					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d III tilis National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	u.					
Attachment(s)	. □	(DTO 448)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-9 is acknowledged. Claims 10-31 are withdrawn from further consideration, as directed to claims non-elected without traverse, 37CFR1.142.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2,4-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilcox (1963057) in view of Plastidip. Wilcox discloses the elements of claim 1, however it fails to the use of a cover. Plastidip discloses the use of a cover (pg 1-2, see notations). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed the cover of Plastidip with the apparatus of Wilcox in order to more easily grip and hold the device.

As to claim 2: Wilcox discloses a tapered end (fig 4-5).

As to claim 4: The specification provides no unanticipated or surprising results in the limitations claimed. No criticality is seen in the use of an extruded coating material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to have selected any one of several equivalent means to apply the cover based on cost and manufacturing methods used.

As to claim 5: The specification provides no unanticipated or surprising results in the limitations claimed. No criticality is seen in the use of an double ended taper. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have selected any one of several equivalent means to apply the cover based on cost and manufacturing methods used.

As to claim 6: Plastidip discloses a colored cover which would naturally be tinted (pg 1-2).

As to claim 7: Wilcox discloses a longitudinal groove (fig 6-8).

As to claim 9: See claim 1 rejection. The cover would naturally have an interior surface since it has an exterior surface. And the longitudinal grooves of Wilcox define adjacent areas that have reduced thicknesses.

Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilcox (1963057) in view of Plastidip as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Brine (3910578). Brine discloses the use of a lacrosse head. The use of lacrosse heads and shafts are well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have utilized the lacrosse head of Brine in order increase the market for the coated shafts.

Also,

Application/Control Number: 10/666,449

Art Unit: 3711

Claims 1,4,6, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McNeil (3972529) in view of Plastidip. McNeil discloses the elements of claim 1, however it fails to the use of a cover. Plastidip discloses the use of a cover (pg 1-2, see notations). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed the cover of Plastidip with the apparatus of McNeil in order to more easily grip and hold the device.

As to claim 4: The specification provides no unanticipated or surprising results in the limitations claimed. No criticality is seen in the use of an extruded coating material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have selected any one of several equivalent means to apply the cover based on cost and manufacturing methods used.

As to claim 6: Plastidip discloses a colored cover which would naturally be tinted (pg 1-2).

As to claim 9: See claim 1 rejection. The cover would naturally have an interior surface since it has an exterior surface. And the longitudinal grooves of McNeil define adjacent areas that have reduced thicknesses (fig 3).

Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McNeil in view of Plastidip as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Brine (3910578). Brine discloses the use of a lacrosse head. The use of lacrosse heads and shafts are well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

Application/Control Number: 10/666,449 Page 5

Art Unit: 3711

the art at the time of the invention to have utilized the lacrosse head of Brine in order increase the market for the coated shafts.

Claims 2, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McNeil in view of Plastidip as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Official Notice. Official Notice is taken that the use of tapered ends for shafts is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize any one of several equivalent ends including a tapered end based on cost and design considerations.

As to claim 5: The specification provides no unanticipated or surprising results in the limitations claimed. No criticality is seen in the use of a double ended taper. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have selected any one of several equivalent means to apply the cover based on cost and manufacturing methods used.

As to claim 7: See claim 2 rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Chambers whose telephone number is 571-272-4407. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,449 Page 6

Art Unit: 3711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Kim can be reached on 571-272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

1963057*3910578* 3972529*Plastidip

Michael Chambers Examiner Art Unit 3711

April 11, 2006

EUGENE KIM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Jone 2